1 2 3 4 5 6	David W. Affeld, State Bar No. 123922 Damion Robinson, State Bar No. 262573 Affeld Grivakes LLP 2049 Century Park East, Ste. 2460 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 979-8700  Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Zeleny			
7 8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	MICHAEL	ZELENY,	Case No. CV 17-7357 JCS	
12	Plaint	iff,	Assigned to:	
13		VS.	The Honorable Richard G. Seeborg	
14	EDMUND G. BROWN, Jr., et al.,		Discovery Matters: The Honorable Thomas S. Hixson	
15	Defendants.		STIPULATION TO MODIFY BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON MOTION TO DISMISS	
16			[Proposed Order filed concurrently]	
17				
18 19			Action Filed: December 28, 2017 Trial Date: June 8, 2020	
20				
21	Plaintiff Michael Zeleny ("Plaintiff") and Defendant New Enterprise Associates			
22	("Defendant") hereby stipulate as follows.			
23	<b>A.</b>	Recitals		
24	1.	Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint in this action on August 30, 2019.		
25	2.	Defendant requested and Plaintiff granted an extension of time for Defendant to		
26	file a Motion to Dismiss. Defendant moved to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint on			
27	September 25, 2019.			
28	3. The Motion to Dismiss involves significant, substantive issues.			
	-1-			

Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is currently due on October 9, 4. 1 2019. Plaintiff's counsel currently have the following matters scheduled, which conflict with 2 3 the opposition deadline: (a) a critical party deposition in a complex state court case; (b) four 4 briefs due in unrelated matters in Los Angeles Superior Court on October 7, 2019; (c) a deposition set on October 10, 2019 in New Jersey; and (d) three substantive briefs due in a 5 case pending the Central District of California on October 11. 6 7 5. Due to these scheduling conflicts, Plaintiffs counsel lack the time and resources needed to properly address the Motion to Dismiss by October 9, 2019. 8 6. 9 Defendant's counsel has agreed to an extension of Plaintiff's opposition deadline by one-week, and has requested a modest, two-day extension of the corresponding 10 reply deadline. 11 В. Stipulation. 12 Now, therefore, Plaintiff and Defendant agree and stipulate as follows: 13 14 7. That, subject to Court approval, the deadline for Plaintiff to oppose Defendant's 15 Motion to Dismiss be extended to October 16, 2019, and that Defendant's reply deadline be extended to October 25, 2019. 16 8. The Parties jointly request that the Court enter an order, in the form submitted, 17 modifying the schedule as provided above. 18 19 It is So Stipulated. 20 Dated: October 4, 2019 Respectfully submitted, 21 s/ Damion Robinson Damion D. D. Robinson 22 Affeld Grivakes LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Zeleny 23 24 Respectfully submitted, 25 s/ Roger A. Lane Roger A. Lane 26 Foley & Lardner LLP 27 Attorneys for Defendant New Enterprise Associates 28

1	I hereby certify that on October 4, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing document using the Court's CM/ECF system. I am informed and believe that the CM/ECF system will send a notice of electronic filing to the interested parties.		
2			
3	/s/ Gabrielle Bruckner Gabrielle Bruckner		
4	Guottene Bruckner		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 3 -		
	STIPLILATION TO MODIEV RRIEFING SCHEDULE		